

United States FATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/708,822	11/08/2000	Yvetta D. Pols Sandhu	SMQ-034	SMQ-034 3050	
959	7590 07/05/2002				
	COCKFIELD	EXAM	EXAMINER		
28 STATE STREET BOSTON, MA 02109			WALSH, JOHN B		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3676		
			DATE MAILED: 07/05/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

\geq

Applicant(s)

Examiner John B. Walsh 3676 The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Estensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed siter Six (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will expire SiX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply within the statutory of the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed siter SiX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply within the statutory period will expire SiX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply within the substance is a period of period period of period pe	ذغ	~	09/708,822	YVETTA D. POLS	SANDHU			
John B. Walsh 3576	Office Action Summary							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provision of 3° CFR 1136(s). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled Extensions of time may be available under the provision of 3° CFR 1136(s). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled Extension of time may be available under the provision of 3° CFR 1136(s). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled Extension of the provision of the provision of 3° CFR 1136(s). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled If the period for reply specified shows it less than the time in the main of the communication of the period for reply within the sale date, the main of the second address of the communication of the period of the second address of the communication. Fallewise to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by address of the communication, even if the provision of the communication. Fallewise to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by address of the communication of the communication. Fallewise the provision of the communication of the communication is considered to the communication of the provision of the communication of the commu		•						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE @ MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensive of time may be available under the provision of 3 CPR 1.13(d). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled # the period for reply specified show is less beth bility (30) days, a reply within the statutory maintain of this (50) days will be considered timely. # the period for reply specified show is less beth bility (30) days, a reply within the statutory maintain or this (50) days will be considered timely. ## the period for reply specified above is less beth bility (30) days, a reply within the statutory maintain or the replication is the maintain date of this communication. ## the period for reply specified down is the beth bility of the statutory maintain or the replication is the maintain of the replication. ## the period for reply specified down. Be application is not final. ## the period for reply specified down is the maintain of the communication, even if timely find, may reduce any search advantage and the time maintain of the communication. ## the period for reply specified to communication for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. ## Disposition of Claims ## Claim(s) 1.34 is/are pending in the application. ## down in some coordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. ## Disposition of Claims ## dispo								
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 CFR it 13(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after EX (6) AGNITIST from the malling date of this communication. I Modernote for reply is specified between the manufacture of the communication. I Modernote for reply is specified between the manufacture part does not be application to become ARANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 13.3). Any reply received by the Office identified between the patients or poly within the state of the terminal state of the terminal patients or poly within the state of the terminal state of the terminal state of the terminal state of the terminal state of the state of the terminal terminal sureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). See the attached detailed Office action for all story the certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the certified copies of the priority docume	Period for Reply							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-34 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1-34 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1-20 and 22-32 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 1-20 and 22-32 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 21,33 and 34 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-20 and 22-32 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 21,33 and 34 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-20 and 22-32 is/are rejected. 7) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowled	 THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any 							
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-34 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1-34 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-20 and 22-32 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 21.33 and 34 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Applicant near a subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.	Status							
3	1)☐ Respon	sive to communication(s) filed on	_·					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)	2a)☐ This act	ion is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.					
4) □ Ctaim(s) 1-34 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1-20 and 22-32 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) 21.33 and 34 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(e) 15) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1 □ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5 □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2 □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	•							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-20 and 22-32 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 21.33 and 34 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)								
Signary Claim(s) 1-20 and 22-32 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) 21,33 and 34 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1 □ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)								
Tying Claim(s) 21,33 and 34 is/are objected to. 8 Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers	·							
Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-982) 2) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
Application Papers 9	<u> </u>		election requirement.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 3) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Application Papers							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved by disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	9)∏ The speci	fication is objected to by the Examiner	;					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	10) The drawi	ng(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep	ted or b)⊡ objected to by the Exa	miner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Applicar	nt may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 1 ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 1 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	a)□ All b)[☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	1.☐ Ce	ertified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 1) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	2. Ce	ertified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	on No				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 1 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	·							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
	Notice of Referer Notice of Draftsp	erson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal I					

Application No.

Art Unit: 3676

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: a track.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 11 is objected to because of the following informalities: In order to remain consistent throughout the claims; Line 3 – insert "at least one" before "tray"; Line 6 – insert "at least one" before "lock mechanism". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 2-7, 25, 30 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 2-4 recite "a track". It is unclear if the applicant is referring to another track or the track recited in claim 1.

Claim 2 recites the limitations "said at least one fastener" and "said tray". There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claim.

Claims 5 and 6 recite the limitation "said at least one fastener". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/708,822

Art Unit: 3676

Claim 7 recites the limitation "said tray". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Page 3

Claims 25, 30 and 31 recite the limitation "said sliding security plate". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claim 1 and claim 5 as best understood are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 2,819,692 to Johnson et al.

Johnson et al. '692 disclose at least one lock mechanism (35) mounted within a cover (27); a track (45); a sliding security plate (43); said lock mechanism extends said security plate laterally from one end of said cover (figure 6).

As best understood concerning claim 5, said lock mechanism is positioned at one end of said cover (figure 7; top end).

7. Claims 11, 12, 14, 18, 19, 20, 24, 25 as best understood, 28 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 4,401,247 to Zoor.

As concerns claims 11 and 19, Zoor '247 discloses a tray (4) slidably mounted (the tray is mounted by having the tray contact the rack and slid into place until the hole for 7 is aligned) within said component rack (5; mounted within with 7); at least one fastener (7); a cover (10) including a locking mechanism (17) which prevents access through an access port to said at least

Art Unit: 3676

one fastener (column 3, lines 32-38); a sliding a security plate (18; pivotally slides on back end of 17).

As concerns claim 12, said tray (4) supports several components (4 supports the cover 10, a lock 17, a fastener 14).

As concerns claim 14, said lock mechanism is a key-based lock (figure 1; 17).

As concerns claim 20, said fastener is threaded (column 3; line 15; screw 7).

As concerns claim 24, said locking mechanism is positioned at one end of said cover, proximal to said at least one fastener (figure 3).

As best understood concerning claim 25, said locking mechanism is positioned distal from said at least one fastener (figure 2) and a sliding security plate (18) extends to cover said at least one fastener.

As concerns claims 18 and 28, said cover forms a handle for pulling and pushing said tray in and out of said component rack (when 7 is unscrewed a user can grasp the cover and pull it out of the tray, thus serving as a handle).

As concerns claim 32, a method of securing a tray (4) within a component rack (5) comprising the steps of: sliding said tray (4) into a closed position (position when 4 is attached to 5 by 7) within said component rack (secured within 5 with 7); sliding a security plate (18; pivotally slides on back end of 17) within a cover (10) until said security plate covers and inhibits access to an access aperture leading to at least one fastener anchoring said tray into said component rack (column 3, lines 32-38); activating a locking mechanism (17) located within said cover of said tray and coupled to said security plate, locking said security plate in place (figure 2; security plate locked in place by 17).

Application/Control Number: 09/708,822 Page 5

Art Unit: 3676

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 8 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 2,819,692 to Johnson et al.

Johnson et al. '692 do not explicitly disclose the cover is made of plastic and the security plate made of metal or plastic. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the cover of plastic and the security plate made of metal or plastic, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416.

10. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 4,401,247 to Zoor as applied above to claim 11.

Zoor '247 does not explicitly disclose the cover is made of plastic. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the cover of plastic, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416.

Application/Control Number: 09/708,822

Art Unit: 3676

11. Claims 15 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 4,401,247 to Zoor, as applied above in claims 11 and 19, in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,865,043 to Loughlin.

Page 6

Zoor '247 does not explicitly teach a locking mechanism is a combination lock.

Loughlin '043 teaches a locking mechanism comprising a combination lock (figure 10).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the locking mechanism of Zoor '247 with a combination lock, as taught by Loughlin '043, in order to provide a key-less entry feature.

Claims 16 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. 12. Patent No. 4,401,247 to Zoor, as applied above in claims 11 and 19, in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,410,897 to Edmondson.

Zoor '247 does not explicitly teach said locking mechanism is a padlock.

Edmondson '897 teaches a padlock (25). Edmondson '897 further teaches using any of a lock cylinder, padlock or combination lock for the purpose of locking.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the locking mechanism of Zoor '247 with a padlock, as taught by Edmondson '897, in order to provide a locking mechanism that can be removed easily by a user so that the user may replace the padlock with another type of padlock if desired.

13. Claims 13, 26, 27 and 29-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 4,401,247 to Zoor as applied above in claims 11 and 19.

As concerns claim 13, Zoor '247 does not disclose a plurality of lock mechanisms. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was Application/Control Number: 09/708,822

Art Unit: 3676

made to provide a plurality of lock mechanisms, since it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co., 193 USPQ 8.

As concerns claims 26 and 27, Zoor '247 does not disclose multiple fasteners. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide multiple fasteners, since it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co., 193 USPQ 8.

As concerns claims 29-31, Zoor '247 does not explicitly disclose the cover is made of plastic and the security plate made of metal or plastic. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the cover of plastic and the security plate made of metal or plastic, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 14. Claims 2-4, 6, 7, 21, 33 and 34 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 15. Claims 2-4, 6 and 7 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Page 7

Art Unit: 3676

Conclusion

16. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

17. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John B. Walsh whose telephone number is 703-305-0444. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony Knight can be reached on 703-308-3179. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9326 for regular communications and 703-872-9327 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-872-9325.

Anthony Knight

Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 3670

JW

June 28, 2002